arXiv:2504.16200v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 22 Apr 2025

Topological properties of curved spacetime Su–Schrieffer–Heeger model

Priyanuj Rajbongshi¹ and Ranjan Modak^{1,*}

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Tirupati, Tirupati 517619, India[†]

The Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model, a prime example of a one-dimensional topologically nontrivial insulator, has been extensively studied in flat space-time. In recent times, many studies have been conducted to understand the properties of the low-dimensional quantum matter in curved spacetime, which can mimic the gravitational event horizon and black hole physics. However, the impact of curved spacetime on the topological properties of such systems remains unexplored. Here, we investigate the curved spacetime (CST) version of the SSH model by introducing a position-dependent hopping parameter. We show, using different topologicall markers, that the CST-SSH model can undergo a topological phase transition. We find that the topologically non-trivial phase can host zero-energy edge modes, but those edge modes are asymmetric, unlike the usual SSH model. Moreover, we find that at the topological transition point, a critical slowdown takes place for zeroenergy wave packets near the boundary, indicating the presence of a horizon, and interestingly, if one moves even a slight distance away from the transition point, wave packets start bouncing back and reverse the direction before reaching the horizon. A semiclassical description of the wave packet trajectories also supports these results.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most astonishing predictions of Einstein's theory of general relativity¹ is the potential existence of black holes, i.e., space-time regions from where nothing can escape. From then on, there have been efforts to simulate a black hole horizon and the relevant curve spacetime (CST) physics in the laboratories. In 1981, Unruh proposed a sonic horizon, which is based on the observation that sound waves in flowing fluids, in appropriate conditions, can be described by the same wave equation as a scalar field in a curved space-time. The acoustic horizon occurs if the velocity of the fluid exceeds the speed of sound within the liquid, acting on sound waves exactly as a black hole horizon does^{2,3}. Further, there exist proposals for black hole analogs based on light propagation in dielectric media, liquid Helium 3, and Bose-Einstein condensates, classical electronics circuits⁴⁻¹². These works have provided a setup for studying the CST physics in laboratories, which has deepened the understanding of the curved spacetime and gravity, e.g., one of the milestones of these studies was to reveal the relation between (1 + 1)D Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity and the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model¹³⁻¹⁵. Only in the last few years, there have been some studies that have focused on the condensed matter properties in CST lattice systems, asking extremely fundamental questions like what will happen to the fate of thermalization and localization in CST lattice models^{16–19}.

On the other hand, one of the main goals of condensed matter physics is to deal with different phases of matter. Traditionally, phase transitions were characterized by order parameters within Landau's free-energy theory framework and symmetry breaking. In the past few decades, the identification of new phases of matter that did not break any symmetry, nor could be characterized by the usual order parameters, has led to the appearance of topology in condensed matter systems. While 2D electronic systems, which displayed a quantized Hall conductance²⁰, are probably 1st known example of such a condensed matter system where topology plays a crucial role, in recent days, a new class of electronic materials such as topological insulators^{21–26}, topological crystalline insulators²⁷⁻²⁹, and topological semimetals³⁰⁻³³ have emerged as a material having nontrivial Bulk band topology that can be exploited for application in low-power consumption electronic and spintronic devices due to the robustness of their edge states to defects, which are topologically protected. In this context, to understand how these materials behave in realistic situations, it is important to first understand simple toy models that show such a topological phase transition. One such example of a toy model is the one-dimensional (1D) Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model, which is an example of a topological insulator³⁴. It is a tight-binding model of noninteracting spinless electrons confined in a dimer chain, and has been extensively studied both theoretically and experimentally in the recent past $^{35-39}$. The SSH model was initially introduced to describe a 1D chain of polyacetylene, on which electrons hop with staggered hopping amplitudes. There are two sites in each unit cell of this model; thus, it is a two-band model, and has winding number as its corresponding topological invariant. In particular, the number of edge states on a boundary of the system has a one-to-one correspondence to the winding number associated with this model.

In this work, our main goal is to connect these two extremely different branches of physics, 1) curved spacetime and black hole, and 2) topological systems in condensed matter. We propose a CST lattice model inspired by the two-band SSH model. First, we investigate whether we can see the event horizon physics or not. Next, we investigate whether the CST version of the SSH model has a topologically non-trivial phase or not. Remarkably, we answer both questions affirmatively in this manuscript. We show using different topological markers that the CST-SSH model also shows a topological phase transition. Most interestingly, exactly at the transition point, a critical slowdown takes place for zero-energy wave packets, which indicates the presence of a horizon.

This paper is organized as follows: We define our CST-SSH model in Sec. II. Section. III shows the wave packet dynamics and semi-classical analysis of the dynamics. We investigate the gap of the spectrum and also the zero-energy states in Sec. IV. We dedicate the Sec. V for different topological markers to check the topological nature of the model, and Sec. VI shows the effect of quench across different topological phases in CST-SSH. Finally, we summarize our findings in Sec. VII.

II. CURVED SPACETIME SSH MODEL

In some recent studies^{19,40}, an equivalence between the Dirac equation in curved spacetime and a tight-binding condensed matter system with power law position-dependent hopping has been demonstrated. As suggested, we can establish a direct connection between the continuum field theory and a condensed matter system by considering a simple tightbinding Hamiltonian in real space with nearest-neighbor (nn) position-dependent hopping:

$$\mathscr{H} = -\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} t_n \left(c_n^{\dagger} c_{n+1} + h.c. \right), \tag{1}$$

where c_n^{\dagger} and c_n are fermionic-creation and annihilation operators, and t_n is a position-dependent hopping parameter. In the thermodynamic limit, if $t_n = t$ (the nn hopping amplitude is constant for all sites), then the Hamiltonian can be diagonalized very easily in the momentum space k. The Hamiltonian will read as, $\mathscr{H} = \sum_k \varepsilon(k) c_k^{\dagger} c_k$, where c_k^{\dagger} and c_k are creation and annihilation operators in momentum space, and $\varepsilon(k) = -2t \cos k$. In case of position-dependent hopping t_n , with $N \to \infty$, we can approximate the local band structure as $\varepsilon(n,k) \sim -2t_n \cos k$, and the local Fermi velocity as $v_F(n) \sim \pm 2t_n$. Thus, the local velocity varies with spatial coordinates, as the hopping here is position-dependent. In the continuum limit, these kinds of lattice models are equivalent to a massless Dirac field with the two-component spinor $\hat{\Psi} = (\hat{\psi}_+, \hat{\psi}_-)^T$ that obeys the following time-evolution equation⁴⁰,

$$\partial_{\tau}\hat{\Psi} = \sigma_3 \left(v(x)\partial_x + \frac{1}{2}\frac{dv}{dx} \right) \hat{\Psi}, \qquad (2)$$

where, σ_i , (i = 1, 2, 3) are the Pauli matrices and v(x) is a position-dependent velocity, relating to the hopping as v(x) = 2t(x). It is identical to the Dirac equation on (1+1) D spacetime in the massless limit. Thus, Eq. 1 is the low-energy discrete version of a Dirac Hamiltonian in the continuum limit with the equation of motion 2, and with the Rindler metric

$$ds^{2} = -v^{2}(x)d\tau^{2} + dx^{2}.$$
 (3)

At v(x) = 0, i.e., t(x) = 0, this Rindler metric possesses an event horizon, as at that point, the local speed of light goes to zero. If we let $t(x) \propto x^{\sigma}$, where σ is indicating the warping of spacetime¹⁶, at x = 0, the lattice model will possess event horizon for $\sigma > 0$: an gaussian wave-packet propagating in this lattice will suffer eternal slowdown and never reaches the origin, thus effectively forming an event horizon at the origin in the lattice model.

In a similar spirit, in this work, we study the curved-spacetime version of the SSH model, which is described by the following Hamiltonian,

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^{N} t_1(n) c_{n,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,B} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} t_2(n) c_{n,B}^{\dagger} c_{n+1,A} + h.c.$$
(4)

where $c_{n,A}^{\dagger}$, $c_{n,A}$ ($c_{n,B}^{\dagger}$, $c_{n,B}$) are fermionic creation and annihilation operators at the A sub-lattice (B sub-lattice) of the nth unit cell and $t_1(n) = t_1 \left(\frac{2n-1}{2N-1}\right)^{\sigma}$ and $t_2(n) = t_2 \left(\frac{2n}{2N-1}\right)^{\sigma}$. In the $\sigma = 0$ limit, the model maps exactly to the usual SSH model, which has a topologically non-trivial (trivial) phase when $t_1 < t_2$ ($t_1 > t_2$), and is followed by a topological transition when $t_1 = t_2^{34}$. We identify t_1 and t_2 as the intra- and intercell hopping strengths, respectively.

III. WAVE-PACKET VS SEMICLASSICAL DYNAMICS

The first question we try to address here is whether we see any event horizon physics for the Hamiltonian Eq. (4). Hence, we first study the wave-packet dynamics. In this section, we study the wave packet dynamics under the CST-SSH Hamiltonian of a Gaussian wave packet and compare the results with the semiclassical set of coupled differential equations that govern wave packet trajectories. We consider the following Gaussian wave packet,

$$\psi(x,\tau=0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi\omega^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\omega}\right)^2} e^{ip_0 x},$$
(5)

which describes a normalized gaussian wave packet at time $\tau = 0$ centered at position x_0 of the lattice, and having initial momentum of p_0 , ω is the width of the wave packet. We find that if $t_1 \neq t_2$, the wave-packet first propagates towards an edge and then returns from some point x_{min} , which we identify as a 'turning point'. This x_{min} depends on the details, e.g., initial momentum p_0 , the Hamiltonian parameters σ , t_1 , and t_2 (see Fig. 10b). On the other hand, for $t_1 = t_2$ and $p_0 = -\pi/2$, it eternally slows down while evolving as it approaches the edge x = 0, and never returns as shown in Fig. 10a. We can identify it as the asymptotic localization of wave packets at the origin, which mimics the key feature expected for wave packet dynamics in the presence of a horizon.

We can dive deeper into the time evolution of the wave packet by obtaining the semiclassical trajectories of the Hamiltonian. For a position-dependent SSH model, the two recursive energy eigenvalue equations can be written as,

$$t_2(n-1)\psi_{B,n-1} + t_1(n)\psi_{B,n} = \varepsilon\psi_{A,n} \tag{6}$$

$$t_1(n)\psi_{A,n} + t_2(n)\psi_{A,n+1} = \varepsilon\psi_{B,n} \tag{7}$$

Following the reference⁴⁰, we introduce continuous function $\tilde{\psi}_{A/B}(x_n)$ which is related to the discrete $\psi_{A/B,n}$ of the lattice model as: $\tilde{\psi}_{A/B}(x_n) = \psi_{A/B,n}$, where, $x_n = \frac{n}{N-1}$ is the position of the *n*-th unit cell in the conituum space, with $\tilde{\psi}_A(x_n) - \tilde{\psi}_B(x_n) = \delta x = \frac{1}{2N-1}$, the minimum distance on the lattice. Expanding the wave functions in Taylor series, we get a mapping between the discrete $\psi_{A/B,n\pm1}$ with continuous







0.2

FIG. 1: Time evolution of Gaussian wave packet in the CST-SSH Hamiltonian of system size 2N = 1000 (500 unit cells): (a) $t_1 = t_2 = 1, \sigma = 1, \omega = 25, x_0 = 0.75, p_0 = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, the wave packet experiences an eternal slowdown as it approaches the origin; (b) $t_1 = 1, t_2 = 1.9, \sigma = 1, \omega = 25, x_0 = .75, p_0 = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, the wave-packet turns back before the origin; (c) peak position of the Gaussian wave packet vs. time for different p_0 , at $\sigma = 1, (t_1, t_2) = (1, 1.9)$; (d) peak position vs. time for different t_1/t_2 ratios at $p_0 = -\frac{\pi}{2}$. Dotted lines are numerical; solid lines are semiclassical predictions.

 $\tilde{\psi}_{A/B}(x_n)$ as $\psi_{A/B,n\pm 1} = e^{\pm i2\delta x} \tilde{\psi}_{A/B}(x_n)$. The factor of two comes as changing the unit cell from *n* to $n\pm 1$ corresponds to moving $2\delta x$ in space to the right or left, and the minimum length scale on the lattice is δx . We then end up with the following pairs of equations,

$$\left(t_2(\hat{x}-2\delta x)e^{-2i\delta x\hat{p}}+t_1(\hat{x})\right)\tilde{\psi}_B(x,\tau)=i\partial_\tau\tilde{\psi}_A(x,\tau) \quad (8)$$

and,

$$\left(t_1(\hat{x}) + t_2(\hat{x})e^{2i\delta x\hat{p}}\right)\tilde{\psi}_A(x,\tau) = i\partial_\tau\tilde{\psi}_B(x,\tau),\tag{9}$$

where, $\tilde{\psi}_{A/B}(x,\tau) = \tilde{\psi}_{A/B}(x)e^{-i\varepsilon\tau}$. Combining the two equations, we get the continuum Hamiltonian as a 2 × 2 matrix,

$$\tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_1(\hat{x}) + e^{-2i\,\delta x\,\hat{p}}\,t_2(\hat{x}) \\ t_1(\hat{x}) + t_2(\hat{x})\,e^{2i\,\delta x\,\hat{p}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which acts on the spinor $\Psi(x, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_A(x, \tau) \\ \tilde{\psi}_B(x, \tau) \end{pmatrix}$. Now, neglecting the commutation relation between \hat{x} and \hat{p} , and then, rescaling the momentum and time as $p \to p/\delta x$ and $\tau \to \tau/\delta x$, we could express the energy as follows, and with it, we can obtain the equation of motion. The semiclassical equations of motion (in one dimension) read:

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial E_{\pm}}{\partial p}, \qquad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial E_{\pm}}{\partial x},$$
 (10)

where,

$$E_{\pm}(x,p) = \pm \sqrt{t_1^2(x) + t_2^2(x) + 2t_1(x)t_2(x)\cos(2p)}$$
(11)

is the energy expression, and correspondingly, one can obtain

equations of motion as,

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial E_{\pm}}{\partial p} = \mp \frac{2t_1(x)t_2(x)\sin(2p)}{\sqrt{t_1^2(x) + t_2^2(x) + 2t_1(x)t_2(x)\cos(2p)}}$$
(12)

$$\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial E_{\pm}}{\partial x}$$

$$= \mp \frac{t_1(x)t_1'(x) + t_2(x)t_2'(x) + \cos(2p)\left(t_1'(x)t_2(x) + t_1(x)t_2'(x)\right)}{\sqrt{t_1^2(x) + t_2^2(x) + 2t_1(x)t_2(x)\cos(2p)}}$$
(13)

Solving these two coupled differential equations, one can easily obtain the semiclassical trajectories. Here, the \mp signs just indicate the direction of the propagation of the trajectories. In figure 1c and 1d, the semi-classical trajectories are compared with the peak position of exact dynamics results. The results show extraordinary agreement. Moreover, the semiclassical result can also mimic the event horizon physics for $t_1 = t_2$ and $p_0 = -\pi/2$. Semiclassical trajectories can also allow us to predict the turning point for $p_0 \neq -\pi/2$. One can analytically obtain the turning point by taking the conserved nature of the average energy of wave packet from the semiclassical calculation. From equation 11, on obtains,

$$E^{2}(x,p) = E_{0}^{2}(x_{0},p_{0}) = t_{1}^{2}(x) + t_{2}^{2}(x) + 2t_{1}(x)t_{2}(x)\cos(2p)$$
$$\implies \cos(2p) = \frac{E_{0}^{2} - (t_{1}^{2}(x) + t_{2}^{2}(x))}{2t_{1}(x)t_{2}(x)}.$$
(14)

Now, at the turning point (x_{min}, t_{min}) , $\dot{x} = 0$, which implies p = 0, substituting which in Eq. 14, one gets,

$$E_0 = t_1(x_{min}) + t_2(x_{min})$$
(15)

with the position dependent hoppings $t_1(x_{min}) \sim t_1 x_{min}^{\sigma}$ and $t_2 x_{min}) \sim t_2 x_{min}^{\sigma}$. The Eq. 15 can be simplified to obtain the x_{min} expression as,

$$x_{min} = x_0 \left[\frac{t_1^2 + t_2^2 + 2t_1 t_2 \cos(2p_0)}{(t_1 + t_2)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}$$
(16)

Figure. 2 shows how the turning point varies with different p_0 values at different σ . The numerical and analytical turning points are extremely well lined up, solidifying the validity of semiclassical calculations. Moreover, the equation suggests that for x_{min} to be zero, the two hoppings t_1 and t_2 must be equal which leads a more simplified expression $x_{min} = x_0(\cos p)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}$, when $p = \pm \pi/2$, only then x_{min} becomes 0. Hence, in case of $t_1 \neq t_2$, the wave packet has no initial momentum p_0 value for which the turning point becomes 0. It also validates our previous numerical finding, i.e., only when $t_1 = t_2$, the model can show the synthetic horizon effect, and that too for $p_0 = -\pi/2$, which also has been observed for the tight binding lattice model^{16,19,40}.



FIG. 2: For the CST-SSH model having system size 2N = 1000 (500 unit cells), $t_1 = 1, t_2 = 1.5$, plotting of turning point by numerical calculation (black dotted lines) and from semiclassical formula 16 (colored dashed lines) at different initial momenta p_0 for different values of σ

IV. ANALYTICAL EXPRESSION FOR THE EXACT ZERO ENERGY EIGENFUNCTIONS

From the wave-packet dynamics, it is apparent that the CST SSH model can also mimic horizon physics. The next question is, does this model show a topological phase transition? Note that in the $\sigma = 0$ limit, this model is identical to the usual SSH model and shows a topological phase transition when $t_1 = t_2$ between topologically trivial phase ($t_1 > t_2$) and topologically non-trivial phase $(t_2 > t_1)$. The consequence of the topologically non-trivial phase is that for $t_2 > t_1$, the Hamiltonian H (4) under open-boundary conditions has two zeroenergy states, and they are localized at the two edges. Now, the automatic question arises, what will happen to the fate of those edge states for $\sigma > 0$, will such edge states survive? First, we perform a numerical check in Fig. 3. Figures in the upper panel suggest that zero energy states still survive for finite σ , though the gap is becoming smaller with increasing σ . Moreover, those states remain localized at the edges. However, unlike the usual SSH model, the states are asymmetric; the left edge state seems to become more localized compared to the right edge state with increasing σ .

It is reasonably straightforward to obtain those zero eigenstates by solving the time-independent Schrödinger equation for the Hamiltonian (4), and one can easily obtain two recursive relations for two sub-lattices A and B. For sublattice A:

$$t_2(n-1)\psi_{B,n-1} + t_1(n)\psi_{B,n} = 0$$
(17)

For sublattice B:

$$t_1(n)\psi_{A,n} + t_2(n)\psi_{A,n+1} = 0 \tag{18}$$

5



FIG. 3: Closing of the energy band gap and variation of zero energy eigen states variation with σ : (a)–(c) for a CST-SSH model having system size 2N = 1000 (500 unit cells) with $t_1 = 0.5$ and $t_2 = 1$. Top row: Eigenvalue spectra for $\sigma = 0, 0.5$, and 1 respectively. Bottom row (d)–(f): Corresponding zero-energy eigenstates profiles.

Now, from (17),

$$\begin{split} \psi_{B,n} &= -\frac{t_1(n+1)}{t_2(n)} \psi_{B,n+1} \\ &= \left(-\frac{t_1(n+1)}{t_2(n)} \right) \left(-\frac{t_1(n+2)}{t_2(n+1)} \right) \cdots \left(-\frac{t_1(N)}{t_2(N-1)} \right) \psi_{B,N} \\ &= \left(-\frac{t_1}{t_2} \right)^{N-n} \prod_{m=n+1}^N \left(\frac{2m-1}{2(m-1)} \right)^{\sigma} \psi_{B,N} \end{split}$$
(19)

Likewise, for sublattice B, we can obtain from (18),

$$\Psi_{A,n} = -\frac{t_1(n-1)}{t_2(n-1)} \Psi_{A,n-1}
= \left(-\frac{t_1}{t_2}\right)^{n-1} \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2m-1}{2m}\right)^{\sigma} \Psi_{A,1}$$
(20)

These two zero-energy eigenstates $\psi_{A,n}$ and $\psi_{B,n}$ will appear on the left and right edges of the chain, respectively. $\psi_{B,N}$ and $\psi_{A,1}$ can easily be obtained by normalizing the eigen states. For the standard SSH model, with $\sigma = 0$, both states are identical, only one is peaked at the left edge, and the other at the right. But with the introduction of σ , asymmetry arises. The state on the right edge remains almost the same for non-zero σ , and the peak height on the left edge keeps increasing with σ . Figure. 4 shows how the right-to-left peak ratio of probability densities varies with σ , showing exact matching with the ratio calculation from the analytical expression.

Another thing to note is the closing of the energy gap with σ . We observe that the gap gradually closes with increasing σ and becomes almost non-existent as σ reaches 1. From Fig. 5, we observed that ΔE decreases with σ as $\Delta E \propto N^{-\sigma}$ for a given fixed t_1 and t_2 . Note that the finite-size system on the lattice will always have a gap, and a typical gap between two adjacent energy eigenvalues decreases with system size as N^{-1} (we have found the same for our model as well). Thus, the ratio between ΔE and the typical gap in the spectrum scales as $N^{1-\sigma}$, indicating the presence of isolated zeroenergy states even for non-zero $\sigma < 1$. The fact that $N\Delta E$ increases with N, suggests the system remains gapped even in the thermodynamic limit for $\sigma < 1^{41}$. Nevertheless, we also observe well-localized zero-energy edge states for the σ higher than 1 as well. This can be attributed to the robustness of the system's topology.

V. TOPOLOGICAL SIGNATURES

In the previous section, the survival of the zero-energy edge states for $\sigma > 0$ shows a signature that the CST-SSH Hamiltonian can also host the topologically non-trivial phase. In order



FIG. 4: for $t_1 = 0.5, t_2 = 1$, ratio of right-to-left peak of probability densities vs σ for system size 2N = 1000 (500 unit cells). Here the dotted lines represent the numerical calculations and the dashed lines are from the analytical expressions of the zero energy eigenstates 20,19



FIG. 5: for $t_1 = 0.5, t_2 = 1$ energy gap ΔE at various system size N for different σ

to become more certain, one needs to understand the symmetries of the model, as well as some proper topological markers, which are required. First, we investigate whether even after the introduction of warping of spacetime (σ), the Hamiltonian will still remain in the BDI category with winding number as its topological invariant quantity, as it is observed for the usual SSH model. Since the two position-dependent hopping terms $t_1(n)$ and $t_2(n)$ are real, the time reversal operator acting on the Hamiltonian $\hat{T}H\hat{T}^{-1} = H$ leaves it invariant. Moreover, the Hamiltonian being a spinless fermionic system, $\hat{T}^2 = \mathbb{I}$. For chiral symmetry, first, we define $\hat{\Gamma}_A = \sum_{n=1}^N c_{n,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,A}$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_B =$ $\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{n,B}^{\dagger} c_{n,B}$ as projectors on sublattice A and B⁴². Then, the chiral operator is defined by $\hat{\Gamma} = \hat{\Gamma}_A - \hat{\Gamma}_B$, which anticommutes with the Hamiltonian $\hat{\Gamma}H\hat{\Gamma} = -H$, since the bipartite nature is maintained in the modified position-dependent SSH model. This anti-commutation relation holds regardless of the position-dependent nature of the hopping amplitudes⁴². Time reversal and Chiral symmetry being present with $T^2 = \Gamma^2 = \mathbb{I}$, we can combine them as, $\hat{C} = \hat{T}\hat{\Gamma}$, which can acts as a particle hole symmetry, i.e., an anti-unitary operator that anti-commutes with the Hamiltonian, and also $\hat{C}^2 = \mathbb{I}$. Thus, this CST-SSH Hamiltonian remains in the BDI category in the Altland-Zirnbauer tenfold classification



FIG. 6: Local Topological Marker (LTM) for $(a)\sigma = 0$ and, $(b)\sigma = 1$ for trivial $(t_1, t_2) = (1, 0.5)$ and topological phase $(t_1, t_2) = (0.5, 1)$, for the CST-SSH model having system size 2N = 1000 (500 unit cells)

of topological insulators and superconductors^{43,44} with an integer \mathbb{Z} topological invariant like its standard counterpart in the usual SSH model. So, the symmetries remain invariant under the position-dependent hopping amplitude, and so does the topological invariant, i.e., winding number. However, in the CST-SSH model, the absence of translational symmetry prevents us from utilizing the k-space to calculate the winding number. Therefore, we adopt two real space markers to calculate the topological invariants: (i) Local topological marker (LTM), (ii) Mean chiral displacement (MCD).

A. Local Topological Marker

For a dimer chain with broken translational symmetry, the Local Topological Marker (LTM) can give the value of the topological invariant quantity in its bulk. We here obtain the winding number in real space using LTM^{45,46}. It is based on the rearranged eigenfunctions corresponding to the ascending eigenvalues. The Local topological Marker(LCM) can be defined as:

$$\mathbf{v}(l) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=A,B} \{ (\mathbb{Q}_{BA}[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{AB}])_{la,la} + (\mathbb{Q}_{AB}[\mathbb{Q}_{BA}, \mathbb{X}])_{la,la} \},$$
(21)

Here, X is the position operator. Q can be defined from the modal matrix U, which is comprised of all the normalized eigen vectors with ascending order, explicitly, U = $[U_1, U_2, ... U_n, U_{n+1}, ... U_N]$. Here, U₋ = $[U_1, U_2, ... U_n]$, and U₊ - = $[U_{n+1}, U_{n+2}, ... U_N]$ are corresponds to below and above the band gap energy spectrum. Then one can define the projectors as $\mathbb{P}_- = \mathbb{U}_- \mathbb{U}_-^T$ and $\mathbb{P}_+ = \mathbb{U}_+ \mathbb{U}_+^T$, and Q as, Q = $\mathbb{P}_+ - \mathbb{P}_-$, which further can be decomposed as, Q = $\mathbb{Q}_{AB} + \mathbb{Q}_{BA} = \Gamma_A \mathbb{Q}\Gamma_B + \Gamma_B \mathbb{Q}\Gamma_A$, where $\Gamma = \Gamma_A - \Gamma_B$ is the chiral operator. More about the formula and the operators can be found in the appendix of^{45,46}. From the figure 6, we observe that even for $\sigma = 1$, the LTM shows the same winding number for the CST-SSH Hamiltonian as its usual SSH counterpart. While $t_2 > t_1$, the LTM shows v = 1, indicating topologically non-trivial phase, and for $t_1 > t_2$, v = 0 signify-



FIG. 7: (a).(b): Time dependent MCD for both topological (red) with $(t_1, t_2) = (0.5, 1)$ and trivial (blue) with $(t_1, t_2) = (1, 0.5)$ for (a) $\sigma = 0$, for the CST-SSH model having system size 2N = 1000 (500 unit cells) (b) $\sigma = 1$, (c)Fluctuation measurement as Var(C(t)) vs σ for different system sizes N

ing the trivial phase. There is no apparent difference between the standard and the position-dependent hopping SSH model for this static marker.

B. Mean Chiral Displacement

The previous topological measure was a static measure; in this section, we use a dynamical topological measure, which we call Mean Chiral Displacement (MCD), can be used to detect the winding number. The MCD is defined as,

$$C(\tau) = 2\langle \psi(\tau) | \Gamma \mathbb{X} | \psi(\tau) \rangle, \qquad (22)$$

where $|\psi(\tau)\rangle = e^{-iH\tau} |\psi_i\rangle$, i.e., $|\psi(\tau)\rangle$ is the time evolved state of an initially localized state at n = N/2 unit cell in the A sublattice, i.e., $|\psi_i\rangle$. Γ , \mathbb{X} are chiral and displacement operators, respectively. In the figure 7, we show the time-dependent MCD for both the trivial and topological phases, where it oscillates and converges to 0 in the case of a trivial phase and, on the other hand, saturates to 1 in the case of a topological phase for the usual SSH model. On the other hand, for $\sigma \neq 0$ also, the MCD oscillates around its respective winding number, but the fluctuations are much higher compared to $\sigma = 0$, though the average MCD remains the same. We, then, measure the fluctuation by computing the long-time variance of the MCD for different σ . Interestingly, we observe that this fluctuation is at most when $\sigma = 1$. Figure. 7c (c) shows that with increasing system size, fluctuation for a particular σ increases, and, for a particular system size, it is maximum around $\sigma = 1$. Overall, the MCD results complement our previous results for the static measure. This indeed proves that, like the usual SSH model, even the CST-SSH model displays two topologically distinct phases corresponding to winding numbers 0 (when $t_2/t_1 < 1$) and 1 (when $t_2/t_1 > 1$).

VI. QUENCH DYNAMICS: FROM TOPOLOGICAL TO TRIVIAL PHASE

In this section, we study the quench dynamics across the topological transition point. We prepare our initial state $|\psi_i\rangle$ as one of the zero-energy eigenstates of the CST-SSH Hamiltonian for $t_2 > t_1$. Note, this is the topologically non-trivial phase, having two edge modes. Then, we quench across the transition point in the topologically trivial phase. It implies $t_2 < t_1$ for the post-quench Hamiltonian, which we identify as H_f . The survival probability of the initial state after quench is given by^{47,48},

$$P_i(\tau) = |\langle \psi_i | e^{-iH_f \tau} | \psi_i \rangle|^2.$$
(23)

It is basically a measurement of the likelihood of the zeroenergy eigenstate of a topologically nontrivial Hamiltonian remaining the same after the unitary time evolution of the state in another Hamiltonian with winding number 0. Figure. 8 shows the variation of the survival probability with time for the usual SSH model, i.e, $\sigma = 0$, and for the CST-SSH model with $\sigma = 0.5$. We quench from $(t_1, t_2) = (0.5, 1)$ to $(t_1, t_2) = (1, 0.5)$. For the initial state, i.e., localized at the right edge, the time evolution of the survival probability is almost identical for the usual SSH and the CST-SSH model [see Fig. 8 (a)]. The survival probability goes to zero reasonably quickly. However, the dynamics are quite different between these two models for the left edge mode. While for the usual SSH, the dynamic is almost indistinguishable from that obtained for the right edge mode, for $\sigma > 0$, the survival probability seems to oscillate between 0 and 1. We find that the oscillation period increases with increasing σ . The fact that dynamics is identical for usual SSH and not for CST-SSH is not too surprising, given that we found in Sec. IV that both edge states are symmetric for $\sigma = 0$, and asymmetry kicks in as soon as $\sigma > 0$. However, it still does not explain why the survival probability of the left-edge states oscillates. Hence, we plot the absolute value of the time-evolved wavefunction in Fig. 9, and find that for the CST-SSH model, while under time evolution, the right edge state moves toward the other

8



FIG. 8: Measurement of survival probability $P_i(t)$ from winding number 0 (with(t_1, t_2) = (1,0.5))to 1(with(t_1, t_2) = (0.5,1)) for (a) right edge zero energy eigen state and, (b) left edge zero energy eigen state, for the CST-SSH model having system size 2N = 1000 (500 unit cells)



FIG. 9: For the CST-SSH model having system size 2N = 1000 (500 unit cells), Time evolution of the zero energy eigenstates: (a) left, and, (b) right edge zero energy eigenstate of a Hamiltonian with winding number 1, in another Hamiltonian with winding number zero. Inset of Fig. (a) shows the semiclassical dynamics near the origin, where the left edge state is located. Inset of Fig. (b) shows the semiclassical dynamics where the right edge state is located.

side of the lattice, while the left edge mode kind of remains there. If one tracks the peak position, one finds that it moves a bit to the right and then again comes back, keeping up this toand-fro motion. This is precisely what gets manifested in the survival probability plot. Moreover, we find the same picture even when solving the semi-classical equation of motion (see inset of Fig. 9).

VII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we investigate the topological properties of the CST-SSH model. First, we analyze the energy spectrum and find that when the intercell hopping is larger than the intracell hopping, a pair of zero-energy states emerges. These states are localized at the two edges of the lattice. However, unlike in the conventional SSH model, these edge states are not symmetric. We also find that, similar to the usual SSH model, the spectrum exhibits a gap whenever the intra- and intercell hopping amplitudes are unequal. However, this gap scales to zero with system size as $N^{-\sigma}$. Since the typical gap of a finite-size system scales as N^{-1} , $\sigma < 1$ implies that the spectrum remains gapped in the thermodynamic limit⁴¹. Moreover, we use various topological markers, both static and dynamic, which show a clear signature of a topological phase transition between a topologically trivial phase and a nontrivial phase with winding number 1. On the other hand, we find that the physics of the event horizon manifests only at the transition point, where a critical slowdown occurs for zeroenergy wave packets near the boundary. The wave-packet dynamics results are also supported by an analytical calculation of semiclassical trajectories. With the advancement of coldatom experiments, there is potential for our predictions to be verified in the near future^{49–51}, which could enrich our understanding of both black hole physics and topology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R.M. acknowledges the DST-Inspire fellowship by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, SERB start-up grant (SRG/2021/002152).

Appendix A: Extended CST-SSH model

TABLE I: Comparison of Numerical Average MCD and Variance for different winding numbers v at $\sigma = 0$ and 1.

		$\sigma = 0$	$\sigma = 1$	
v	Average	Variance	Average	Variance
0	0.0001	0.0019	0.0045	0.0815
1	0.9983	0.0112	1.0043	0.0854
2	1.9988	0.2234	2.0209	0.5753
3	2.9975	0.1090	3.0113	0.4434
4	3.9824	0.3301	4.0085	1.0546

In this section, we consider the extended CST-SSH model. In the main text, we focus on the model where the hopping is only of the nearest-neighbour type. Here, we consider even higher-order hopping. In the context of the usual SSH model, 9

a similar model was studied in Ref.⁴⁷, which was called the extended SSH model. Such models can host more than one edge mode on each lattice edge. By choosing suitable parameters, one can have even topological phases with a higher winding number in such models. Here we study the CST version of such models, where hopping is position dependent, and the model reads as,

$$H_{ext} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(t_1(n) c_{n,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,B} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(t_2(n) c_{n+1,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,B} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-2} \left(t_3(n) c_{n+2,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,B} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-3} \left(t_4(n) c_{n+3,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,B} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-4} \left(t_5(n) c_{n+4,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,B} \right) + \text{H.c.},$$
(A1)

Where, $t_1(n) = t_1 \left(\frac{2n-1}{2N-1}\right)^{\sigma}$, $t_2(n) = t_2 \left(\frac{2n}{2N-1}\right)^{\sigma}$ are the near-est neighbor intracellular and intercellular position-dependent hoppings, and, $t_{3/4/5}(n) = t_{3/4/5} \left(\frac{2n}{2N-1}\right)^{\delta}$, are the second, third and fourth intercellular position-dependent hoppings, respectively. We have calculated the local topological marker (LTM) and the Mean Chiral Marker (MCD) for this extended Hamiltonian. First, we consider $t_3 = t_4 = t_5 = 0$, and in this limit, the Hamiltonian H_{ext} is the same as the Hamiltonian (4). In case of both $\sigma = 0$ and 1, we get back the winding number v = 0 and 1 for $(t_1, t_2) = (1, 0.5), (0.5, 1)$, respectively using both LTM and MCD markers. Figure. 10 shows that for parameters $(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = (0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.2, 0.4),$ (0.1, 0.5, 0.35, 0.6, 0), (0.25, 0.15, 0.5, 0.2, 0.4), one obtains winding number v = 2, 3, 4, respectively for $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$. However, the MCD data fluctuates quite a bit more for $\sigma = 1$ as compared to those for $\sigma = 0$. Hence, we make a table. I, and mention long-time average and fluctuation of MCD data for both $\sigma = 0$ and 1. These results show that topological features in the CST version of the SSH type models survive even for the extended CST-SSH model, which strengthens our main findings in the main text, i.e., the robustness of the topological signature in the curved spacetime models.

* ranjan@iittp.ac.in

- [†] ph24d004@iittp.ac.in
- ¹ Albert Einstein, "Die grundlage der allgemeinen relativitätstheorie [adp 49, 769 (1916)]," Annalen der Physik **517**, 517–571 (2005).
- ² William George Unruh, "Experimental black-hole evaporation?" Physical Review Letters 46, 1351 (1981).
- ³ Ralf Schützhold and William G. Unruh, "Gravity wave analogues of black holes," Phys. Rev. D **66**, 044019 (2002).
- ⁴ Grigorij E Volovik, "Superfluid analogies of cosmological phenomena," Physics Reports **351**, 195–348 (2001).
- ⁵ M Novello, VA De Lorenci, JM Salim, and Renato Klippert, "Geometrical aspects of light propagation in nonlinear electrodynamics," Physical Review D 61, 045001 (2000).
- ⁶ M Novello and JM Salim, "Effective electromagnetic geometry," Physical Review D 63, 083511 (2001).

- ⁷ B Reznik, "Origin of the thermal radiation in a solid-state analogue of a black hole," Physical Review D 62, 044044 (2000).
- ⁸ Ralf Schützhold, Günter Plunien, and Gerhard Soff, "Dielectric black hole analogs," Physical review letters 88, 061101 (2002).
- ⁹ Oren Lahav, Amir Itah, Alex Blumkin, Carmit Gordon, Shahar Rinott, Alona Zayats, and Jeff Steinhauer, "Realization of a sonic black hole analog in a bose-einstein condensate," Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 240401 (2010).
- ¹⁰ L. J. Garay, J. R. Anglin, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, "Sonic analog of gravitational black holes in bose-einstein condensates," Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4643–4647 (2000).
- ¹¹ Alicia J Kollár, Mattias Fitzpatrick, and Andrew A Houck, "Hyperbolic lattices in circuit quantum electrodynamics," Nature **571**, 45–50 (2019).
- ¹² H. S. Nguyen, D. Gerace, I. Carusotto, D. Sanvitto, E. Galopin, A. Lemaître, I. Sagnes, J. Bloch, and A. Amo, "Acoustic black



FIG. 10: LTM (a,b) and MCD (c,d) Markers for extended CST-SSH model for $\sigma = 0$ (a,c), and $\sigma = 1$ (b,d) for the extended CST-SSH model having system size 2N = 1000 (500 unit cells)

hole in a stationary hydrodynamic flow of microcavity polaritons," Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 036402 (2015).

- ¹³ Subir Sachdev and Jinwu Ye, "Gapless spin-fluid ground state in a random quantum heisenberg magnet," Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3339– 3342 (1993).
- ¹⁴ Juan Maldacena and Douglas Stanford, "Remarks on the sachdevye-kitaev model," Phys. Rev. D 94, 106002 (2016).
- ¹⁵ Alexei Kitaev and S Josephine Suh, "The soft mode in the sachdev-ye-kitaev model and its gravity dual," Journal of High Energy Physics **2018**, 1–68 (2018).
- ¹⁶ Shan-Zhong Li, Xue-Jia Yu, Shi-Liang Zhu, and Zhi Li, "Anderson localization and swing mobility edge in curved spacetime," Physical Review B **108**, 094209 (2023).
- ¹⁷ Lotte Mertens, Ali G Moghaddam, Dmitry Chernyavsky, Corentin Morice, Jeroen van Den Brink, and Jasper van Wezel, "Thermalization by a synthetic horizon," Physical Review Research 4, 043084 (2022).
- ¹⁸ Corentin Morice, Dmitry Chernyavsky, Jasper van Wezel, Jeroen van den Brink, and Ali Moghaddam, "Quantum dynamics in 1d lattice models with synthetic horizons," SciPost Physics Core 5, 042 (2022).
- ¹⁹ Corentin Morice, Ali G Moghaddam, Dmitry Chernyavsky, Jasper van Wezel, and Jeroen van den Brink, "Synthetic gravitational horizons in low-dimensional quantum matter," Physical Review Research 3, L022022 (2021).
- ²⁰ D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, "Quantized hall conductance in a two-dimensional periodic potential," Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 405–408 (1982).
- ²¹ C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, "Quantum spin hall effect in graphene," Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 226801 (2005).
- ²² Liang Fu, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, "Topological insulators in three dimensions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 106803 (2007).
- ²³ B Andrei Bernevig, Taylor L Hughes, and Shou-Cheng Zhang, "Quantum spin hall effect and topological phase transition in hgte quantum wells," science **314**, 1757–1761 (2006).
- ²⁴ David Hsieh, Dong Qian, Lewis Wray, Yiman Xia, Yew San Hor, Robert Joseph Cava, and M Zahid Hasan, "A topological dirac insulator in a quantum spin hall phase," Nature **452**, 970–974 (2008).
- ²⁵ YL Chen, James G Analytis, J-H Chu, ZK Liu, S-K Mo, Xiao-Liang Qi, HJ Zhang, DH Lu, Xi Dai, Zhong Fang, et al., "Experimental realization of a three-dimensional topological insulator, bi2te3," science **325**, 178–181 (2009).
- ²⁶ Cui-Zu Chang, Jinsong Zhang, Xiao Feng, Jie Shen, Zuocheng Zhang, Minghua Guo, Kang Li, Yunbo Ou, Pang Wei, Li-Li Wang, et al., "Experimental observation of the quantum anoma-

lous hall effect in a magnetic topological insulator," Science **340**, 167–170 (2013).

- ²⁷ Liang Fu, "Topological crystalline insulators," Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 106802 (2011).
- ²⁸ Y Tanaka, Zhi Ren, T Sato, K Nakayama, S Souma, T Takahashi, Kouji Segawa, and Yoichi Ando, "Experimental realization of a topological crystalline insulator in snte," Nature Physics 8, 800– 803 (2012).
- ²⁹ P Dziawa, BJ Kowalski, K Dybko, R Buczko, A Szczerbakow, M Szot, E Łusakowska, T Balasubramanian, Bastian M Wojek, MH Berntsen, et al., "Topological crystalline insulator states in pb1- x sn x se," Nature materials **11**, 1023–1027 (2012).
- ³⁰ A. A. Burkov and Leon Balents, "Weyl semimetal in a topological insulator multilayer," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 127205 (2011).
- ³¹ A. A. Burkov and Leon Balents, "Weyl semimetal in a topological insulator multilayer," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 127205 (2011).
- ³² Sergey Borisenko, Quinn Gibson, Danil Evtushinsky, Volodymyr Zabolotnyy, Bernd Büchner, and Robert J. Cava, "Experimental realization of a three-dimensional dirac semimetal," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 027603 (2014).
- ³³ Haruki Watanabe, Hoi Chun Po, Michael P. Zaletel, and Ashvin Vishwanath, "Filling-enforced gaplessness in band structures of the 230 space groups," Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 096404 (2016).
- ³⁴ W-P_ Su, JR Schrieffer, and AJ Heeger, "Soliton excitations in polyacetylene," Physical Review B 22, 2099 (1980).
- ³⁵ Hajime Takayama, Y. R. Lin-Liu, and Kazumi Maki, "Continuum model for solitons in polyacetylene," Phys. Rev. B **21**, 2388–2393 (1980).
- ³⁶ S. Kivelson and D. E. Heim, "Hubbard versus peierls and the su-schrieffer-heeger model of polyacetylene," Phys. Rev. B 26, 4278–4292 (1982).
- ³⁷ A. J. Heeger, S. Kivelson, J. R. Schrieffer, and W. P. Su, "Solitons in conducting polymers," Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 781–850 (1988).
- ³⁸ Dharana Joshi and Tanay Nag, "Adiabatic charge transport in extended ssh models," arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.18125 (2025).
- ³⁹ Eric J Meier, Fangzhao Alex An, and Bryce Gadway, "Observation of the topological soliton state in the su-schrieffer-heeger model," Nature communications 7, 13986 (2016).
- ⁴⁰ Corentin Morice, Dmitry Chernyavsky, Jasper van Wezel, Jeroen van den Brink, and Ali Moghaddam, "Quantum dynamics in 1d lattice models with synthetic horizons," SciPost Physics Core 5 (2022), 10.21468/scipostphyscore.5.3.042.
- ⁴¹ Tapan Mishra, Juan Carrasquilla, and Marcos Rigol, "Phase diagram of the half-filled one-dimensional *t-v-V* model," Phys. Rev. B 84, 115135 (2011).
- ⁴² János K. Asbóth, László Oroszlány, and András Pályi,

A Short Course on Topological Insulators (Springer International Publishing, 2016).

- ⁴³ Alexander Altland and Martin R. Zirnbauer, "Nonstandard symmetry classes in mesoscopic normal-superconducting hybrid structures," Physical Review B 55, 1142–1161 (1997).
- ⁴⁴ Shinsei Ryu, Andreas P Schnyder, Akira Furusaki, and Andreas W W Ludwig, "Topological insulators and superconductors: tenfold way and dimensional hierarchy," New Journal of Physics **12**, 065010 (2010).
- ⁴⁵ Xiaotian Shi, Ioannis Kiorpelidis, Rajesh Chaunsali, Vassos Achilleos, Georgios Theocharis, and Jinkyu Yang, "Disorderinduced topological phase transition in a one-dimensional mechanical system," Phys. Rev. Res. **3**, 033012 (2021).
- ⁴⁶ Eric J Meier, Fangzhao Alex An, Alexandre Dauphin, Maria Maffei, Pietro Massignan, Taylor L Hughes, and Bryce Gadway, "Observation of the topological anderson insulator in disordered atomic wires," Science **362**, 929–933 (2018).
- ⁴⁷ A. Ghosh, A. M. Martin, and S. Majumder, "Quench dynamics of edge states in a finite extended su-schrieffer-heeger system," Phys. Rev. E **108**, 034102 (2023).
- ⁴⁸ M. Suman, S. Aravinda, and Ranjan Modak, "Probing quantum phase transitions via quantum speed limits," Phys. Rev. A **110**, 012466 (2024).
- ⁴⁹ Dan-Wei Zhang, Yan-Qing Zhu, YX Zhao, Hui Yan, and Shi-Liang Zhu, "Topological quantum matter with cold atoms," Advances in Physics **67**, 253–402 (2018).
- ⁵⁰ Celia Viermann, Marius Sparn, Nikolas Liebster, Maurus Hans, Elinor Kath, Álvaro Parra-López, Mireia Tolosa-Simeón, Natalia Sánchez-Kuntz, Tobias Haas, Helmut Strobel, et al., "Quantum

field simulator for dynamics in curved spacetime," nature **611**, 260–264 (2022).

- ⁵¹ Carlos Barceló, "Analogue black-hole horizons," Nature Physics 15, 210–213 (2019).
- ⁵² Ludmila Viotti, Ana Laura Gramajo, Paula I. Villar, Fernando C. Lombardo, and Rosario Fazio, "Geometric phases along quantum trajectories," Quantum 7, 1029 (2023).
- ⁵³ Viktor Könye, Corentin Morice, Dmitry Chernyavsky, Ali G. Moghaddam, Jeroen van den Brink, and Jasper van Wezel, "Horizon physics of quasi-one-dimensional tilted weyl cones on a lattice," Phys. Rev. Res. 4, 033237 (2022).
- ⁵⁴ Lotte Mertens, Ali G. Moghaddam, Dmitry Chernyavsky, Corentin Morice, Jeroen van den Brink, and Jasper van Wezel, "Thermalization by a synthetic horizon," Phys. Rev. Res. 4, 043084 (2022).
- ⁵⁵ Runqiu He, Yule Zhao, Chong Sheng, Jiachen Duan, Ying Wei, Changwei Sun, Liangliang Lu, Yan-Xiao Gong, Shining Zhu, and Hui Liu, "Experimental realization of quantum walks near synthetic horizons on photonic lattices," Phys. Rev. Res. 6, 013233 (2024).
- ⁵⁶ Christophe De Beule, Solofo Groenendijk, Tobias Meng, and Thomas L. Schmidt, "Artificial event horizons in Weyl semimetal heterostructures and their non-equilibrium signatures," SciPost Phys. **11**, 095 (2021).
- ⁵⁷ Ali G. Moghaddam, Dmitry Chernyavsky, Corentin Morice, Jasper van Wezel, and Jeroen van den Brink, "Engineering spectral properties of non-interacting lattice Hamiltonians," SciPost Phys. **11**, 109 (2021).